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Abstract—We propose a simple all-fiber structure based on intermodal interference between a core and a cladding mode of an endlessly single-mode photonic crystal fiber (PCF) section sandwiched between a lead-in and lead-out SMF-28 fiber. We have measured the intermodal dispersion and the polarization-dependent loss (PDL) of the structure. The sensitivities to strain, pressure, and temperature and external refractive index are studied. The interferometer is compared to an interferometer based on LP_{01}-LP_{02} SMF-28 fiber and to a PCF-based tapered LPG.

Index Terms—Fiber-optic strain and pressure sensors, intermodal interference, Mach-Zender interferometers, polarization-dependent loss (PDL), photonic crystal fibers.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY, several works have appeared on the development of a novel type of sensor using intermodal interference between forward-propagating core and cladding modes along a microstructured optical fiber (MOF) [1], [2] or along a photonic crystal fiber (PCF) [3], [4].

Unlike conventional intermodal sensors in standard optical fibers [5] or in PCFs [6], core-cladding mode interference sensors not only exhibit sensitivity to strain, temperature, and pressure, but very much like long-period gratings (LPGs) [7] are also sensitive to bending, to torsion, and most importantly to ambient refractive index changes. One of the first applications of this new type of sensor was for hydrogen concentration sensing [1] in combination with thin palladium layers deposited on a collapsed MOF fiber section. Strain sensing has also been investigated [2], [3]. Three different fabrication techniques have been suggested and tested. One is to collapse a section of the MOF and form a tapered region [1] which becomes a glass-air waveguide supporting interfering higher order modes [8]. The second is to splice an MOF sensing section between two other MOF pieces [2] or to just collapse the holes at two different positions [3]. In the spliced regions, the holes of the MOF collapse and the structure becomes a glass-air waveguide, in which higher order modes are excited and propagated along the sensing section. A third method [3] is to use lateral offset splicing between the lead-in/ lead-out and the sensing fibers. Depending on the offset, different higher order modes can be excited, and finally a PCF sandwiched between standard single-mode fibers [4] has been proposed as a more cost-effective approach for a temperature insensitive strain sensor.

In this paper, we employ the latter method for the construction of an inline core-cladding intermodal interferometer based on the use of abrupt tapers formed at the splices between lead-in and lead-out standard SMF-28 fibers and an endlessly single-mode PCF fiber sandwiched in between them. These fibers are characterized by different core and mode field diameters which creates the abrupt tapers.

We have tested the sensor for sensitivity to strain, pressure, and temperature as well as external refractive index and have compared its sensitivity with standard core-mode LP_{01}-LP_{02} intermodal interferometers on the one hand, and with PCF-based LPGs on the other.

A detailed theoretical analysis of the intermodal interference is presented which allows us to measure the intermodal dispersion as well.

II. BASIC IDEA OF THE METHOD

We consider the all-fiber structure proposed in [4] and shown in Fig. 1. A piece of endlessly single-mode PCF (ESM-12-01) is spliced between a lead-in and a lead-out SMF-28 fiber. The PCF fiber section is stripped of the polymer coating, so that the outer refractive index is that of air. In such a structure, higher order cladding modes of the PCF fiber, which would otherwise be radiated through the polymer cladding, are now guided, the surrounding medium (air) acting as a low-refractive index cladding.

Looking at the data in Table I, we notice that the diameter of the fundamental LP_{01} mode in the SMF-28 fiber is \( w_{SMF} = 9.2 \, \mu m \), whereas in the PCF, \( w_{PCF} = 6.4 \, \mu m \). We thus obtain an abrupt change of the waveguide properties which means that at the splice we have an abrupt taper. Also, as shown in [2], the fundamental mode field coming out of the SMF will...
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SMF-28</th>
<th>ESM-12-01-PCF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode field diameter</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core diameter</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladding diameter</td>
<td>125 ± 1</td>
<td>125 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole region diameter</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>57.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

broaden due to diffraction in the region of collapsed holes. For this reason, the LP_{01} mode distribution of the SMF-28 fiber cannot adapt smoothly to the LP_{01} mode distribution of the PCF fiber and couples part of its power to higher order cladding modes of the PCF fiber. Normally, if the PCF fiber is polymer-coated, these modes will be highly lossy. If the PCF section is stripped, however, these cladding modes are better guided and their fields overlap with the field of the fundamental LP_{01} core mode. We then will observe interference between a core mode and a cladding mode. At the second splice, we have another abrupt taper and the SMF-28 lead-out fiber does not support the higher order mode, its effective refractive index, and consequently its propagation constant, will be very sensitive to the refractive index of the surrounding medium.

III. THEORY

A. Interference Equation

We assume that two modes propagate at the beginning of the PCF fiber section: the fundamental LP_{01} and an LP_{0p} higher order cladding mode with propagation constants of \( \beta_{01} \) and \( \beta_{0p} \), respectively, and mode field distributions of \( f_{01}(r) \) and \( f_{0p}(r) \), where \( r \) is the radial coordinate in the cross-section. These modes interfere and the resultant light intensity at a position \( r \) across the fiber section will be:

\[
I(r, z) = I_0 \left[ \eta_{01} F_{01}(r) + \eta_{0p} F_{0p}(r) + 2 \eta_{0p} F_{1p}(r) \cos(\delta \beta z) \right]
\]

(1)

, where \( \eta_{01} \), \( \eta_{0p} \) are the relative parts of each mode \( \eta_{0m} = (\alpha_{01}(\alpha_{0p}) V \right)^{1/2} \). Also, in (1) \( F_{01}(r) = f_{01}^2(r) \), \( F_{0p}(r) = f_{0p}^2(r) \), \( F_{1p}(r) = f_{01}(r) \overline{f_{0p}(r)} \), where \( f_{01}(r) \) and \( f_{0p}(r) \) are the radial distributions of the electric field of the LP_{01} and LP_{0p} modes and

\[
\delta \beta = \beta_{01} - \beta_{0p}
\]

(2)
is their propagation constant difference.

The relative modal parts \( \eta_{01} \) and \( \eta_{0p} \) depend on the splicing conditions and the mismatch of the modal fields, and on the surrounding refractive index (SRI). The intensity selected by a lead-out fiber at the end of the PCF fiber will be

\[
I(z) = \int_A |E(r, \varphi, z)E_0^*(r, \varphi)|^2 dA
\]

(3)

where \( E(r, \varphi, z) \) is the resultant field of the interfering modes and \( E_0(r, \varphi) \) is the mode field of the lead-out fiber.

The visibility \( V \) of the fringes defined as

\[
V = \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}}{I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}} = \frac{2 \eta_{0p} I_{1p}}{\eta_{01} I_{01} + \eta_{0p} I_{0p}}
\]

(4)

where \( I_{\text{max}}, I_{\text{min}} \) are maximum and minimum intensities at the lead-out fiber output, \( I_{01}, I_{0p}, I_{1p} \) are intensities related to the fundamental mode, the cladding mode and the interference term as detected by the lead-out fiber. These can be calculated using (9) from [5] on the basis of the spatial Stokes parameters, the field distribution of the interfering modes and of the lead-in and lead-out fiber mode.

If we want to account for the dispersion, we expand \( \delta \beta \) into a series as

\[
\delta \beta \approx \delta \beta_0 + \delta \tau_{1p}(\omega - \omega_0)
\]

(5)

where \( \delta \tau_{1p} = d(\delta \beta)/d\omega \) is the intermodal dispersion and \( \delta \beta_0 \) is the propagation constant difference at a central frequency \( \omega_0 \). Taking into account that \( \delta \beta = \beta_{01} - \beta_{0p} = k(n_{eff}^{01} - n_{eff}^{0p}) = k\Delta n_{eff}, \) the intermodal dispersion \( \delta \tau_{1p} \) can be written as

\[
\delta \tau_{1p} = \frac{d(\delta \beta)}{d\omega} = \frac{(\Delta n_{eff}^{00} + \omega \Delta n')}{c}
\]

(6)

where \( \Delta n' = d\Delta n_{eff}^{00}/d\omega \). Through substitution of (5) into the cosine function of the interferometric term in (1) we obtain

\[
\cos(\delta \beta z) = \cos[\delta \beta_0 z + \delta \tau_{1p}(\omega - \omega_0) z]
\]

For a given position \( z \) (fiber length L) \( \delta \beta_0 z = \delta \tau_{1p}(\omega - \omega_0) z \), so only the second term will contribute to the change of the phase as the wavelength varies. The periodicity condition requires that \( \delta \tau_{1p}(\omega - \omega_0) = 2n\pi \). This can be transformed into \( \delta \tau_{1p} = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda} \Delta n' \) from which we have \( dm/d\lambda = \delta \tau_{1p} c^2/\lambda^2 \) or also \( \delta \tau_{1p} c^2 \Delta \lambda/\lambda_0^2 = \Delta m \). For \( dm = \Delta m = 1 \)

\[
\delta \tau_{1p} = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(c\Delta \lambda_{2\pi} z)}
\]

(7)

where \( \Delta \lambda_{2\pi} \) corresponds to a \( 2\pi \) phase shift, i.e., the shift of one spectral minimum to the position of the neighboring one. On the other hand, substitution of (6) into (5) with \( \delta \beta_0 = \Delta n_{eff}^{00} \omega_0/c \) after some manipulations we obtain that

\[
\delta \beta z = \frac{[-\Delta n_{eff}^{00} + (\omega_0 + \omega \Delta n')/c] z}{c}
\]
Applying the periodicity condition as above, we find

\[ \frac{dm}{d\lambda} = -\frac{\Delta n'2\pi c z}{\lambda_0^2}. \]

We then substitute \( dm/d\lambda \) with \( \Delta n/\Delta \lambda \) and for \( \Delta m = 1 \) we have \( \Delta n' = \lambda_0^2/(2\pi c z \Delta \lambda_2 \pi) \) which substituted into (6) reveals that

\[ \delta \tau_{1p} = \left( \frac{\Delta n_{eff}^0 + \lambda_0^2}{\Delta \lambda_2 \pi z} \right). \] (8)

We then find that

\[ \Delta n_{eff}^0 = \delta \tau_{1p} c - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(\Delta \lambda_2 \pi z)}. \] (9)

As shown in [9], during fusion the holes of the PCF acquire some ellipticity that gives rise to a parasitic birefringence causing polarization-dependent loss. This means that, with PCF-based LPGs, X-and Y-polarizations will be wavelength-shifted and the PDL is found as

\[ PDL = 10\log \left| \frac{I_x}{I_y} \right|. \] (10)

**B. Sensitivities**

We let \( \Phi = \delta \beta z \) be the total phase difference accumulated along the intermodal interferometer.

When an external perturbation is imposed upon the sensing section, the phase difference changes by an amount of \( d\Phi \). For a core-cladding intermodal interferometer, the physical quantities that can lead to phase changes are: temperature \( T \), strain \( \varepsilon \), pressure \( p \), and refractive index \( n \) of the surrounding medium. For symmetrical deformation effects, within a first approximation, the resultant phase change can be written as [11]

\[ d\Phi = \Lambda_T dT + \Lambda_\varepsilon d\varepsilon + \Lambda_p dp + \Lambda_n dn \] (11)

or also as

\[ d\Phi = \frac{2\pi}{T_\varepsilon} dT + \frac{2\pi}{T_\varepsilon} d\varepsilon + \frac{2\pi}{T_p} dp + \frac{2\pi}{T_n} dn \] (12)

where \( \Lambda_\xi (\xi = T, p, \varepsilon, n) \) are the sensitivities and \( T_\varepsilon \) are the quantities of each measurand that introduce a 2\( \pi \) phase change. The smaller the \( T_\varepsilon \), the greater the sensitivities \( \Lambda_\xi \). For a given length of sensing section \( L_s \)

\[ T_\xi L_s = C(\lambda) \] (13)

where \( C \) is wavelength dependent constant which for highly birefringent fibers is proportional to \( \lambda \) [12]. The quantities \( T_\xi \) are the periods of the cosine interferometric response for a given measurand \( \xi = T, p, \varepsilon, n \). As the lengths of the sensors can be different, the sensitivities have to be normalized to unit length (1 m for example). Also, with spectral detection, the intermodal sensor has to be compared to LPG- or FBG-based sensors. To do this we note that

\[ \frac{d\lambda}{d\xi} = \frac{\Delta \lambda_2 \pi}{T_\xi} \] (14)

and hence

\[ T_\xi = \Delta \lambda_2 \pi \cdot \frac{d\xi}{d\lambda} \text{ and } \Lambda_\xi = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\Delta \lambda_2 \pi} \right) \cdot (\frac{d\xi}{d\lambda}). \] (15)

Insertion into (13) yields for a given \( \lambda \)

\[ T_\xi L_s = \Delta \lambda_2 \pi \left( \frac{d\xi}{d\lambda} \right) I_s = \text{const}. \] (16)

It follows then that

\[ \Delta \lambda_{2\pi} = \frac{A_{\Phi}}{I_s} \] (17)

where \( A_{\Phi} \) is some cladding mode-order-dependent constant.

If interference occurs between the fundamental core mode and more than one cladding mode, several spectral periodicities will be superimposed and the structure will exhibit a more complicated response.

**IV. EXPERIMENT**

**A. Fabrication and Characterization**

We fabricated several samples of all-fiber SMF-PCF-SMF structures, establishing lengths of the PCF section from \( L = 5 \) mm to \( L = 53 \) mm. The sample having the PCF section with \( L = 44 \) mm was subjected to various tests. A photographic image of the SMF-PCF joint is shown in Fig. 2, while the schematic drawing of the sensor is presented in Fig. 3.

The spectral response of the intermodal sensor shown in Fig. 4(a) was taken using an ASE broadband source and an Ando AQ6315A OSA. Using (7) and the wavelength periods from Fig. 4 we can easily calculate the wavelength dependence of the intermodal dispersion and corresponding effective refractive index differences. The plot of the former is presented in Fig. 4(b). The average values for the intermodal dispersion and the effective refractive index are \( \delta \tau_{1p} \approx 9,85 \) ns/km and \( \Delta n_{eff}^0 \approx 0,975 \times 10^{-9} \).

Looking at Fig. 4(a) we notice that the amplitude of the response is not constant. Small fluctuations in the periodicity are also noticeable.

There are two major reasons for this observation.
Fig. 4. (a) Spectral response of the obtained intermodal sensor. (b) Spectral dependence of the intermodal dispersion.

Fig. 5. Spectral responses of the $X$- and $Y$-polarized outputs and the PDL of the intermodal interferometer.

Fig. 6. Response to elongation.

Fig. 7. Response to hydrostatic pressure.

The first is the unwanted excitation of more than one higher order cladding mode which modulates the sine response interferometer. The problem can be overcome with more careful filtering of parasitic modes.

The second is the existence of a polarization-dependence of the intermodal interference. This polarization sensitivity arises in the collapsed regions at the splices where the holes of the PCF fiber become elliptic in shape with random orientation, which, in turn causes random parasitic birefringence and PDL. Using an analyzer before the photodetector, we measured the responses for the $X$- and $Y$-polarized outputs and calculated the corresponding total losses and the PDL whose spectral dependence is shown in Fig. 5.

The PDL dependence is similar to that of cascaded LPGs forming a Mach–Zehnder type of interferometer such as the one studied here. Because the higher order cladding mode is lossy at the second splice and the parasitic birefringence is relatively high, the PDL reaches levels as high as 10 dB which is more than typical PDL for either cascaded LPGs or LPGs based on the SMF-28.

B. Measurement of Sensitivities to Strain, Hydrostatic Pressure, and External Refractive Index

We measured the sensitivities to strain, hydrostatic pressure, temperature, and external refractive index changes using different samples.

Fig. 3 shows the dimensions of the sensor arrangement for strain sensing. Because of the epoxy area covering the splices, the active sensing length is reduced to $L_a = 33.5$ mm.

We measured the wavelength shifts of the last minimum from Fig. 4(a) caused by elongation and the results are plotted in Fig. 6. As with LPGs, the sensitivity to strain has a negative coefficient, which means that the interference pattern moves left to lower wavelengths. For the sensing length of $L_a = 33.5$ mm the sensitivity was found to be $d\lambda/d\varepsilon = -60.801$ nm/mm.

The sensitivity to pressure was measured after we installed another sensor with length $L_a = 40$ mm in a high-pressure chamber. The wavelength shifts versus pressure are shown in Fig. 7 and the sensitivity was found to be $d\lambda/d\rho = 16.9$ pm/bar. Measurement of temperature response yielded a sensitivity of $d\lambda/dT < 3$ pm/K.

Since the higher order mode is a cladding mode of a glass-air waveguide, any change of the outer refractive index caused by immersion in a liquid will change the propagation constant of the higher order mode and consequently cause a shift in the interference fringes. Given that the sensors have to be inserted
in a liquid for pressure measurements, the sensitivity to refractive index for the transition air–water has been evaluated. Fig. 8 shows a comparison of the spectral responses in air \((n = 1)\) and in water \((n = 1.3352)\) for an interferometer whose length is \(L = 45\) mm.

The two responses in water correspond to the sensing lengths (the parts immersed in water) of \(L_{a} = 10\) mm and \(L_{b} = 33\) mm. For the 33-mm sensing length, the wavelength shift was \(\delta \lambda = 5\) nm per refractive index change of \(\delta n = 1.3352 - 1 = 0.3352\) r.i.u. (refractive index units). Since the \(2\pi\) spectral period is \(\Delta \lambda_{2\pi} = 18.2\) nm, then using (14) we find that \(T_{n} = 1.22\) r.i.u. and the corresponding sensitivity is \(\Lambda_{n} = 5.14\) rad/r.i.u. From (15), the \(T_{n} \times T_{a}\) product is obtained as \(4.03 \times 10^{-3}\) r.i.u. \(\times\) m. In the refractive index range from 1.33 to 1.38, \(T_{n} = 0.18\) r.i.u. (\(\Lambda_{n} = 34.88\) rad/r.i.u.) and in the range from 1.38 to 1.44, \(T_{n} = 0.027\) r.i.u. (\(\Lambda_{n} = 230\) rad/r.i.u.) as summarized in Table II. Thus, as with LPGs, sensitivity dramatically increases as the external refractive index approaches that of the cladding.

Fig. 9 below shows the wavelength shifts caused by a change in the SRI for two different sensing lengths. The slopes of each section are the sensitivities given in Table II.

Because the confinement and loss of the higher order cladding mode depends on the ambient refractive index, its relative part will change as the SRI changes. This will affect the visibility \(V\) of the fringes given by (4). The dependence of \(V\) versus SRI is shown in Fig. 10.

It is clearly seen that as the SRI increases the visibility does not drop monotonically, but shows a periodic change before dropping considerably at refractive index values close to those of the cladding. It is worth comparing the present sensor with conventional SMF-28-based LP01-LP02 intermodal sensors as well as with PCF-based LPGs.

Table II compares the present PCF-based core-cladding mode interference sensor and an earlier SMF-28-based core–core mode interference sensor. The sensitivity is expressed in terms of the \(T_{c}, I_{a}\) product which is a constant quantity. We see that this PCF intermodal sensor is about 40 times less sensitive to temperature (which is important for temperature compensation), 9.3 times less sensitive to strain, and about 5.8 times more sensitive to pressure.

Table III compares the same PCF-based core-cladding mode interference sensor and a PCF-based tapered LPG reported in [8]. The TLPG’s sensing length is \(L_{a} = 40\) mm, while the lengths of the PCF core-cladding intermodal sensor vary between 33 and 45 mm as indicated in parentheses.

This PCF-based TLPG pressure sensor [9] functions in reflective configuration, so that light passes twice along the same

---

**Fig. 8.** Spectral responses to immersion in water for two different sensing lengths.

**Fig. 9.** Wavelength shifts of the intermodal sensor caused by SRI changes for two different sensing lengths.

**Fig. 10.** Visibility versus SRI changes for \(L_{a} = 33.5\) mm.

---

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(T_{c} \times L_{a}) product</th>
<th>LP01-LP02 PCF-based</th>
<th>LP01-LP02 SMF-based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>(T_{c} \times L_{a}) (K/mm)</td>
<td>305.87</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>(T_{c}) ((\mu)m/(\mu)bar)</td>
<td>9850.8</td>
<td>1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>(T_{c} \times L_{a}) (bar/(\mu)m)</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>(T_{c} \times L_{a}) (r.i.u./(\mu)m) ((L_{a} = 33) mm)</td>
<td>(5.94 \times 10^{-3}) ((n = 1.33 \pm 1.38))</td>
<td>(8.91 \times 10^{-3}) ((n = 1.33 \pm 1.38))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(d \lambda/dT) (pm/K)</th>
<th>LP01-LP02 PCF-based</th>
<th>LP01-LP02 SMF-based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>(d \lambda/dT) (pm/(\mu)m)</td>
<td>(&lt; 2) ((L_{a} = 45) mm)</td>
<td>(0.3) ((L_{a} = 40) mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>(d \lambda/dT) (pm/(\mu)bar)</td>
<td>(16.9) ((L_{a} = 33.5) mm)</td>
<td>(11.2) ((L_{a} = 40) mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>(d \lambda/dn) (nm/r.i.u.)</td>
<td>(99.37) ((n = 1.33 \pm 1.38))</td>
<td>(700) ((n = 1.38 \pm 1.44))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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LPG. The TLPG length being 20 mm, the effective sensing length then becomes \( L_s = 40 \) mm with a reported sensitivity to pressure \( d\lambda / dp = 11.2 \) pm/bar. For the same sensing length, the \( \text{LP}_{11}-\text{LP}_{01} \) intermodal PCF-based sensor shows a sensitivity of \( d\lambda / dp = 16.9 \) pm/bar which is an increase by 50% at a temperature sensitivity of less than 3 pm/K. Sensitivity to strain is negative and about 2.1 times smaller than that of the PCF TLPG sensor. Compared to LPGs, sensitivity to refractive index changes is lower but covers a wider range. Thus with the intermodal PCF-based sensor features the typical advantages of PCF-based LPGs. Its temperature sensitivity is less than 3 pm/K. Sensitivity to strain is measured to be \( 50% \) higher than that of a tapered PCF-based LPG. As the sensing fiber is a photonic crystal one, temperature sensitivity is very low. The PDL of the sensor was found to be about 10 dB, which is higher than for SMF-28-based LPGs, and is due to the higher parasitic birefringence at the splices. This type of sensor features the typical advantages of PCF-based LPGs. Its sensitivity can be augmented by increasing the sensing length.

The results are presented in Fig. 11 from which we note that 8 of 15 points lie on a line with \( A_{0p} = 917.6 \) nm/mm which means that for those samples there is an interference between the core mode and only one cladding mode. The rest of the points show a deviation from this value, which we regard as due to the existence of more than one cladding mode. Fig. 11(a) shows a plot of \( \Delta \lambda_{2\pi} \) versus \( L_s \) and a curve fitting for \( A_{0p} = 917.6 \) nm/mm. Fig. 11(a) and (b) reveal the fact that only about 50% of the splices produced a repeatable excitation of the higher order interfering mode. Hence, improvement in fabrication technology, though simple in itself, is of key importance for the proper functioning of the core-cladding intermodal interferometer.

V. CONCLUSION

We have fabricated and tested a simple and cost-effective core-cladding mode interferometer based on an endlessly single-mode PCF fiber spliced between a lead-in and a lead-out standard SMF-28 fiber. The interferometer is sensitive to pressure, strain and ambient refractive index changes as well as bending. It shows a sensitivity to pressure five times higher than that of a core–core intermodal sensor based on SMF-28 fiber and 50% higher than that of a tapered PCF-based LPG. As the sensing fiber is a photonic crystal one, temperature sensitivity is very low. The PDL of the sensor was found to be about 10 dB, which is higher than for SMF-28-based LPGs, and is due to the higher parasitic birefringence at the splices. This type of sensor features the typical advantages of PCF-based LPGs. Its sensitivity can be augmented by increasing the sensing length.

Fig. 11. Length dependence of (a) the periodicity, and (b) of experimentally measured \( \Delta \lambda_{2\pi} L_s \) products.
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